

## List of Figures

---

- Figure 1-1. Major Features of the Everglades Protection Area in South Florida..... 1-2
- Figure 2-1. Cross-sectional views of two transects adjacent to the northern portion of the North New River Canal, showing elevations of bedrock, water table and ground surface. February-March 1915 water table data measured by Baldwin and Hawker (1915). March 1939 data measured by Clayton et al. (1942). From McVoy et al. (in prep.)..... 2-7
- Figure 2-2. Subsidence of organic soils along lines established 1913-1916 by the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, in the northern (A) and eastern (B) Everglades. Data from Stephens and Johnson (1951) and Shih et al. (1979). ..... 2-9
- Figure 2-3. Construction sequence of canals, levees, and water control structures associated with the C&SF Project and the South Dade Conveyance System. From Light and Dineen (1994). .. 2-12
- Figure 2-4. The difference between natural and managed water level fluctuations for a calendar year are shown as 30-year minimum, maximum, and average water levels for the Natural Systems Model (NSM) v4.5 and the South Florida Water Management Model v3.5 (SFWMM), respectively, at selected gauge locations (SFWMD, 1998). The SFWMM uses the regulation schedules and water control structure rules of 1995 to simulate 1965-1995..... 2-13
- Figure 2-5. Annual surface water inflows to the northeast Shark Slough and western Shark Slough sections of Everglades National Park (1940-1993), based upon a hydrologic year of June through the following May (South Florida Natural Resources Center, 1994). ..... 2-16
- Figure 2-6. Water levels at the Taylor Slough Bridge in Everglades National Park (Van Lent and Johnson, 1993). The 1933-1937 water levels are representative of conditions prior to the C&SF Project and are considered targets for restoration by Park hydrologists. From 1965-1989, water levels exceeded pre-C&SF levels half the time and fell short the other half of the time. 2-17
- Figure 2-7. Primary water budget (V1.0) components that resulted from a 31-year simulation of the Natural Systems Model v4.5. Data are aggregated by major basins. All values are annual averages in thousand acre-feet. This figure will be included within the final version of the Central and South Florida Comprehensive Review Study and is subject to revision. .... 2-18
- Figure 2-8. Annual regional rainfall and the annual discharge of water into Everglades National Park through its eastern boundary into Taylor Slough and the wetlands south of the C-111 canal. While water discharge generally increases with increasing rainfall, relatively more water has flowed into the Park since 1993..... 2-21
- Figure 2-9. Soil profiles along the length of the North New River canal (A), as mapped in 1915 (B) and again in 1940 (C). Note southward expansion of the Sawgrass Plains landscape, elimination of the Ridge and Slough landscape, loss of elevation due to soil oxidation, and conversion of the surface layer from brown, fibrous peat to black, non-fibrous peat. From McVoy et al. (in prep.). 2-23

Figure 2-10. Changes in net photosynthesis and total biomass in sawgrass (open bars) and cattail (closed bars) in response to a soil redox condition of -200 mV (i.e., low-oxygen). Taken from Pezeshki et al., 1996. ....2-26

Figure 2-11. Growth of sawgrass (*Cladium*) and cattail (*Typha*) mixtures grown in outdoor tanks for two years (final-initial biomass). Taken from Newman et al., 1996. ....2-26

Figure 2-12. The loss of tree islands in WCA-2A between 1950 and 1970, due to conversion to marsh habitat. This 20-year period had relatively prolonged high water levels, and followed a thirty-year period of prolonged low water levels and high soil oxidation. The 1950 map was digitized by Worth (1988) from a Loveless (1959) survey. The 1970 map was taken from Dineen (1992, 1994). ....2-28

Figure 2-13. Vegetation map of Southern Florida, circa 1940. Overlain with contours of bedrock floor of Everglades and with 1990 canals. Vegetation polygons digitized from Davis (1943b); bedrock floor digitized from Parker et al. (1955); canals from South Florida Water Management District digital map coverage. From McVoy et al. (in prep.). ....2-31

Figure 2-14. Historical salinity of southern Florida Bay for the last 150 years, as estimated by Swart et al. (in press) from the isotopic record of a coral skeleton. This record shows that southern Florida Bay was strongly influenced by the construction of the Flagler Railway around 1910. Salinity in this century has been higher and less variable than during the last century. ....2-38

Figure 2-15. Evidence of salt water intrusion into the southern Everglades wetlands, from historical aerial photographs and vegetation surveys. A “white zone” of high reflectance, which is dominated by dwarf mangrove tress, now covers an area that in 1940 had been freshwater marsh, dominated by sawgrass (From Meeder et al., 1996).....2-39

Figure 2-16. Water in the NSM generally moves as surface water slowly from north to south in response to the low land surface water gradient. Flow direction and strength are depicted as arrows (vector). (From SFWMD, 1998).....2-46

Figure 2-17. According to the NSM, water levels should be uniform throughout the upper and central Everglades with median ponding depths of 0.5 to 1.0 ft. Water levels should be more variable in the lower Everglades with depths up to 4 ft in the northern transverse glades region, 2.0 ft in Shark River Slough, and 0.5 ft above to 0.5 ft below land surface in the marl wetlands in the Park. (From SFWMD, 1998) .....2-47

Figure 2-18. Although much of the Everglades is subject to annual low water levels which drop below land surface, according to the NSM the duration of the dry down was very short. The NSM median number of days of inundation per year hydroperiod for the Everglades was generally greater than 330 days/year. (From SFWMD, 1998) .....2-48

Figure 2-19. A 62-year ELVM simulation predicts WCA-2A tree island survival in the year 2057 as a function of the historic hydrology as predicted by the Natural Systems Model and by Alternative-D, of the USACE Restudy plan for hydrologic restoration.....2-49

Figure 2-20. Annual mean difference between two 17-year simulation runs of the ELM. A test run with increased evapotranspiration was compared to a nominal run by subtracting the nominal from the test run values, and plotting the differences for one dry year (1989). a) Depth of the zone of unsaturated soil, b) Remineralization rate of PO<sub>4</sub> in the soil, and c) Macrophyte total biomass. Dots are data collection sites for calibration. ....2-52

Figure 3-1. Major hydrologic units of the remnant Everglades-Florida Bay ecosystem (shaded areas). The canal and levee system is represented as solid black lines; see **Chapter 1** for additional information. 3-6

Figure 3-2. a. District atmospheric deposition collection stations. b. Range of TP concentrations in rainfall samples collected from these stations throughout the Everglades between 1987 and 1997. 3-8

Figure 3-3. Wet and dry deposition samples collected from the District atmospheric deposition collection stations throughout the Everglades between 1992 and 1996. See **Figure 3-2** for interpretation of box plots. .... 3-9

Figure 3-4. Range of TP concentrations in surface water samples collected from interior marsh sampling stations (see **Figures 3-8, 3-10, 3-11, and 3-12** for locations)..... 3-11

Figure 3-5. Vertical oxygen profiles with depth in the water-column, periphyton, and soil layers at nutrient-impacted and unimpacted sites. Individual lines show percent saturation at 6:00 a.m. (6A), noon (12N), 6 p.m. (6P), and midnight (12M). .... 3-14

Figure 3-6. Typical distribution of periphyton (whitish floating material) within an oligotrophic slough in the northern Everglades (reference station U3, WCA-2A) during the summer wet season. Thick mats of periphyton typically are associated with the submerged macrophyte *Utricularia* and can completely cover the water surface in oligotrophic, open-water areas of the Everglades during the summer months and provide food and habitat for invertebrates and small fish. A benthic layer of periphyton (not shown in picture) is maintained in these habitats throughout the year. Sparse vegetation includes *Nymphaea* (floating leaves) and *Eleocharis* (erect stems). .... 3-15

Figure 3-7. Densities of dominant groups of macroinvertebrates in periphyton-dominated (sloughs) and macrophyte-dominated (sawgrass stands) habitats in interior, oligotrophic areas of WCA-2A. Adapted from Rader (1994). .... 3-18

Figure 3-8. a. Permanent marsh and canal stations (E0 and F0) currently being sampled to document water chemistry in the marsh interior and along a nutrient-enrichment gradient in WCA-2A. Major inflow structures (closed squares) are italicized. b. Average water-column TP concentrations and ranges for sampling stations in the marsh interior (see **Figure 3-2** for interpretation of box plots). c. Mean (+ 1SE) water-column TP concentrations at stations downstream of the S10s between 1994 and 1997 (from McCormick et al., 1996). .... 3-20

Figure 3-9. Interannual variation in water-column TP concentrations (mean + 1SE) at three long-term sampling stations located 1.8, 4.1, and 6.8 km downstream of the S10s. Based on the data set compiled and analyzed by Smith & McCormick (in review). .... 3-21

Figure 3-10. a. Permanent marsh and canal (X0 and Z0) stations currently being sampled to document water chemistry changes in the marsh interior and along a nutrient-enrichment gradient in the Refuge. Major inflow structures (closed squares) are italicized. b. Average water-column TP concentrations and ranges for sampling stations in the marsh interior sampled since 1993 (see **Figure 3-2** for interpretation of box plots). c. Mean (+ 1SE) water-column TP concentrations at stations in proximity to the S6 pump station during the period between April, 1996 and October, 1997. .... 3-22

- Figure 3-11. a. Permanent marsh stations currently being sampled to document water chemistry changes in the marsh interior in WCA-3A. Major structures (closed squares) are italicized. b. Average water-column TP concentrations and ranges for sampling stations in the marsh interior sampled since 1994 (see **Figure 3-2** for interpretation of box plots). c. Mean (+ 1SE) water-column TP concentrations at stations in proximity to the S9 and S339 structures (circled areas in **Figure 3-11a**) on two sampling events during the fall of 1997.....3-24
- Figure 3-12. a. Permanent marsh stations currently being sampled to document water chemistry changes in the marsh interior of freshwater areas of the Park. Major inflow structures (closed squares) are italicized. b. Average water-column TP concentrations and ranges for sampling stations in the marsh interior (see **Figure 3-2** for interpretation of box plots). c. Mean (+ 1SE) water-column TP concentrations at stations downstream of the S12 structures during 1997. ....3-25
- Figure 3-13. Distribution of TP content in the surface soils throughout the WCAs and Holey Land. ..3-26
- Figure 3-14. Total phosphorus content of soils (0-10 cm depth) downstream of the S10s in WCA-2A. ..3-27
- Figure 3-15. a. Relationship (Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient) between water-column TP concentrations and the P content of floating periphyton mats collected downstream of the S10s in WCA-2A. b. Accumulation of P in periphyton components and soils in response to weekly P additions to experimental plots in an oligotrophic slough in the interior of WCA-2A. From McCormick & Scinto (in press). .....3-31
- Figure 3-16. a. Changes in the productivity of floating periphyton mats in experimental slough plots in WCA-2A exposed to different loading rates of P (McCormick & Scinto, in press). b. The relationship between floating mat productivity and water-column TP downstream of the S10s in WCA-2A (McCormick, unpubl. data).....3-32
- Figure 3-17. Changes in the relative abundance (percent of total algal biovolume) of dominant algal taxa in experimental mesocosm enclosures dosed weekly with different P loads (left panels) and along an enrichment gradient (right panels) in the same marsh (WCA-2A). From McCormick & O'Dell (1996). .....3-34
- Figure 3-18. Areal periphyton productivity in oligotrophic and enriched areas of WCA-2A during the wet and dry seasons of 1994-1995. ....3-35
- Figure 3-19. a. Average water-column dissolved oxygen concentrations and ranges at three reference marsh stations in the WCAs and an enriched marsh station in WCA-2A based on periodic diel sampling between 1979 and 1985 (from McCormick et al., 1997). The top, mid-line, and bottom of each box represents the 75th, 50th (median), and 25th percentiles of data, respectively; the vertical lines represent the 10th and 90th percentiles, and the open circles are the 5th and 90th percentiles; large closed circle is the arithmetic mean. b. Changes in mean daily water-column DO at 13 sampling stations along a canal P gradient in WCA-2A during 5 sampling periods between 1995 and 1998. Each point is the mean value for a single station during a single period. Each period encompassed 3 to 4 successive diel cycles with measurements taken at 15-30 minute intervals using Hydrolab Datasondes® suspended at mid-depth in the water-column. See McCormick and Laing (in review) for further details. 3-37
- Figure 3-20. Changes in sawgrass and cattail P concentration (leaf tissue TP) along a soil P gradient downstream of the S10s in WCA-2A. Adapted from Miao & Debusk (in press). .....3-38

Figure 3-21. Changes in dominance (frequency of occurrence in 25 1-m<sup>2</sup> plots at each site) of common macrophyte species in sloughs as a function of soil TP downstream of the S10s in WCA-2A in 1997. Lines drawn to show general trends with increasing enrichment.....3-40

Figure 3-22. Changes in the coverage of cattail within WCA-2A between 1991 and 1995. Coverage estimates are based on ground-truthed aerial photography as described by Rutchey and Vilchek (in press).....3-42

Figure 3-23. Relative regrowth rate (RGR), biomass production, and the number of new shoots produced by cattail plants transplanted to enriched and unenriched sites in WCA-2A. ....3-43

Figure 3-24. Increases in total cattail coverage over time in Holey Land and WCA-2A. ....3-44

Figure 3-25. Leaf regrowth rate (biomass produced) following leaf removal for cattail and sawgrass plants grown in enriched and unenriched soil. ....3-44

Figure 3-26. Average annual water-column marsh TP concentrations predicted by the Everglades Water Quality Model (EWQM) under: (a) recent (1979-1989) P loading rates from the EAA; and (b) a 50% reduction in EAA loads.....3-49

Figure 3-27. Sensitivity of TP concentrations at the S12 structures to P loading rates at EAA structures (S5, S6, S7, S8, and S150) as predicted by the Everglades Water Quality Model (EWQM)...3-50

Figure 4-1. Location of basins and structures that discharge into the EPA. ....4-5

Figure 4-2. Median TN concentrations from July 1972 through June 1974 (Waller and Earle, 1975). 4-7

Figure 4-3. Median TP concentrations from July 1972 through June 1974 (Waller and Earle, 1975)..4-8

Figure 4-4. a. Mean annual dissolved orthophosphate (as P) and b. dissolved nitrate (as N) concentrations at outflow structures throughout the Everglades system. Nutrient concentrations are based on samples collected twice per month by the District for the 1986 monitoring year (Scheidt et. al., 1987). ....4-10

Figure 4-5. Location of key water quality monitoring stations in the western basins.....4-14

Figure 4-6. Monthly TP loads, flows and flow-weighted mean P concentrations at G-136 from WY96 through WY98. ....4-15

Figure 4-7. Monthly TP loads, flows and flow-weighted mean P concentrations at C139DFC from WY96 through WY98. ....4-16

Figure 4-8. Monthly TP loads, flows and flow-weighted mean P concentrations at L3BRS from WY96 through WY98. ....4-17

Figure 4-9. Location of S10, S11 and S12 structures in the EPA. ....4-19

Figure 4-10. The distribution of mean annual TP concentrations for the Refuge at into structures, rim canal stations and interior stations from the baseline study period to WY98.....4-27

Figure 4-11. The distribution of mean annual TP concentrations for WCA-2 at Into Structures and Interior Stations from the baseline study period to WY98 .....4-29

Figure 4-12. The distribution of mean annual TP concentrations for WCA-3 at Into Structures and Interior Stations from the baseline study period to WY98 .....4-31

Figure 4-13. The distribution of mean annual TP concentrations for WCA-3 at Into Structures and interior stations from the baseline study period to WY98.....4-33

Figure 4-14. Annual flows and P loads to the Refuge from the baseline period through WY98.....4-34

Figure 4-15. Notched box and whisker plots of TP data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-35

Figure 4-16. Annual flows and nitrogen loads to the Refuge from the baseline period through WY98. ..4-37

Figure 4-17. Notched box and whisker plots of TN data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-38

Figure 4-18. Notched box and whisker plots of un-ionized ammonia data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-39

Figure 4-19. Notched box and whisker plots of specific conductance data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-40

Figure 4-20. Notched box and whisker plots of dissolved oxygen data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-41

Figure 4-21. Frequencies of occurrence of hourly averaged dissolved oxygen concentrations at four stations on the Z transect, a nutrient gradient in the Refuge. ....4-42

Figure 4-21. (continued) Frequencies of occurrence of hourly averaged dissolved oxygen concentrations at four stations on the Z transect, a nutrient gradient in the Refuge. ....4-43

Figure 4-22. Notched box and whisker plots of pH data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-44

Figure 4-23. Notched box and whisker plots of alkalinity data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-45

Figure 4-24. Notched box and whisker plots of turbidity data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-46

Figure 4-25. Annual flows and P loads to Water Conservation Area 2 from the baseline period through WY98. 4-47

Figure 4-26. Notched box and whisker plots of TP data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. 4-48

Figure 4-27. Annual flows and nitrogen loads to Water Conservation Area 2 from the baseline period through WY98. ....4-49

Figure 4-28. Notched box and whisker plots of TN data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. 4-50

Figure 4-29. Notched box and whisker plots of un-ionized ammonia data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-51

Figure 4-30. Notched box and whisker plots of specific conductance data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-52

Figure 4-31. Notched box and whisker plots of dissolved oxygen data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-53

Figure 4-32. Frequencies of occurrence of hourly averaged dissolved oxygen concentrations at five stations on the F transect, a nutrient gradient in WCA-2. ....4-54

Figure 4-32. (continued) Frequencies of occurrence of hourly averaged dissolved oxygen concentrations at five stations on the F transect, a nutrient gradient in WCA-2. ....4-55

Figure 4-32. (continued) Frequencies of occurrence of hourly averaged dissolved oxygen concentrations at five stations on the F transect, a nutrient gradient in WCA-2. ....4-56

Figure 4-33. Notched box and whisker plots of pH data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. 4-57

Figure 4-34. Notched box and whisker plots of alkalinity data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-58

Figure 4-35. Notched box and whisker plots of pH data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. 4-59

Figure 4-36. Annual flows and P loads to Water Conservation Area 3 from the baseline period through WY98. 4-60

Figure 4-37. Notched box and whisker plots of TP data collected within Water Conservation Area 3 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. 4-61

Figure 4-38. TP concentrations in combined EAA Flows through S7, S150, S8 and G200. (From Walker, 1998) 4-62

Figure 4-39. Percent of four TP concentration intervals in the combined EAA flows (12-month moving averages. (From Walker, 1998) .....4-63

Figure 4-40. Percent of four TP concentration intervals in the combined EAA flows (3-year moving averages. (From Walker, 1998) .....4-63

Figure 4-41. Annual flows and nitrogen loads to Water Conservation Area 3 from the baseline period through WY98. ....4-64

Figure 4-42. Notched box and whisker plots of nitrogen data collected within Water Conservation Area 3 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-65

Figure 4-43. Notched box and whisker plots of un-ionized ammonia data collected within Water Conservation Area 3 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-66

Figure 4-44. Notched box and whisker plots of specific conductance data collected within Water Conservation Area 3 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-67

Figure 4-45. Notched box and whisker plots of dissolved oxygen data collected within Water Conservation Area 3 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-68

Figure 4-46. Notched box and whisker plots of pH data collected within Water Conservation Area 3 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-69

Figure 4-47. Notched box and whisker plots of alkalinity data collected within Water Conservation Area 3 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-70

Figure 4-48. Notched box and whisker plots of turbidity data collected within Water Conservation Area 3 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-71

Figure 4-49. Annual flows and TP loads to Everglades National Park from the baseline period through WY98. Note that the scale used for P load is 0-50 rather than 0-250 used for other areas in the Everglades Protection Area. ....4-72

Figure 4-50. Notched box and whisker plots of TP data collected within Everglades National Park at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-73

Figure 4-51. Annual flows and nitrogen loads to Everglades National Park from the baseline period through WY98. ....4-74

Figure 4-52. Notched box and whisker plots of nitrogen data collected within Everglades National Park at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-75

Figure 4-53. Notched box and whisker plots of un-ionized ammonia data collected within Everglades National Park at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-76

Figure 4-54. Notched box and whisker plots of specific conductance data collected within Everglades National Park at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-77

Figure 4-55. Notched box and whisker plots of dissolved oxygen data collected within Everglades National Park at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-78

Figure 4-56. Notched box and whisker plots of pH data collected within Everglades National Park at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. 4-79

Figure 4-57. Notched box and whisker plots of alkalinity data collected within Everglades National Park at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-80

Figure 4-58. Notched box and whisker plots of turbidity data collected within Everglades National Park at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-81

Figure 4-59. Salinity (a), water flow (b), TP (c) and TN (d) in water at the mouth of Taylor River. Positive values of water flow indicate flow into Florida Bay. Negative values of water flow indicate flow from Florida Bay (Rudnick et al, 1998). ....4-84

Figure 4-60. Notched box and whisker plots of total cadmium data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-86

Figure 4-61. Notched box and whisker plots of total copper data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-87

Figure 4-62. Notched box and whisker plots of total iron data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-88

Figure 4-63. Notched box and whisker plots of total lead data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-89

Figure 4-64. Notched box and whisker plots of total zinc data collected within the Refuge at a. Into, b. Rim Canal, and c. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-90

Figure 4-65. Notched box and whisker plots of total cadmium data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-91

Figure 4-66. Notched box and whisker plots of total copper data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-92

Figure 4-67. Notched box and whisker plots of total iron data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-93

Figure 4-68. Notched box and whisker plots of total lead data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-94

Figure 4-69. Notched box and whisker plots of total zinc data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-95

Figure 4-70. Notched box and whisker plots of total cadmium data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-96

Figure 4-71. Notched box and whisker plots of total copper data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-97

Figure 4-72. Notched box and whisker plots of total iron data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-98

Figure 4-73. Notched box and whisker plots of total lead data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-99

Figure 4-74. Notched box and whisker plots of total zinc data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-100

Figure 4-75. Notched box and whisker plots of total cadmium data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-101

Figure 4-76. Notched box and whisker plots of total copper data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-102

Figure 4-77. Notched box and whisker plots of total iron data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-103

Figure 4-78. Notched box and whisker plots of total lead data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-104

Figure 4-79. Notched box and whisker plots of total zinc data collected within Water Conservation Area 2 at a. Into and b. Interior sites. See **Table 4-2** for a description of the parts of the notched boxes and whiskers. ....4-105

Figure 4-80. Pesticide Monitoring Network.....4-106

Figure 4-81. Diel DO curves at the WCA-2A 217 Gauge site.....4-122

Figure 4-82. Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge research and monitoring stations. ....4-123

Figure 4-83. Diel DO curves along Refuge Transect Z.....4-124

Figure 4-84. Relationship between pH and alkalinity in the Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge marshes. 4-125

Figure 4-85. Percent exceedances from Class III Standard for pH within the Refuge. ....4-126

Figure 4-86. Percent exceedances from Class III Standard for total alkalinity within the Refuge. ....4-126

Figure 4-87. Percent exceedances from Class III Standard for total alkalinity and pH within the Refuge. . 4-126

Figure 5-1. District water control structures within the 40E-63 boundaries .....5-9

Figure 5-2. Location of Basic Fertilizer Best Management Practices in the EAA.....5-15

Figure 5-3. Location of Advanced Fertilizer Best Management Practices in the EAA. ....5-15

Figure 5-4. Location of Detention Best Management Practices in the EAA. ....5-16

Figure 5-5. Location of Sediment Control Best Management Practices in the EAA.....5-16

Figure 5-6. Location of Urban Best Management Practices in the EAA. ....5-17

Figure 5-7. Location of Pasture Management Best Management Practices in the EAA. ....5-17

Figure 5-8. WY97 Spatial distribution of permit drainage basin phosphorus concentrations. ....5-19

Figure 5-9. WY97 Spatial distribution of permit drainage basin total phosphorus loads. ....5-20

Figure 5-10. Chronologies of BMP initiatives and TP load and concentration. ....5-22

Figure 6-1. Location of the Everglades Nutrient Removal Project in relation to the Everglades Agricultural Area in south Florida.....6-3

Figure 6-2. Site plan for the Everglades Nutrient Removal Project showing the location of stage, flow and water quality monitoring sites. ....6-6

Figure 6-3. Comparison of areal coverage of cattail, other emergent macrophytes, floating macrophytes, and open water/submersed vegetation in Everglades Nutrient Removal Project Treatment Cells 1, 2, 3, and 4 from October 1993 to April 1998. ....6-11

Figure 6-4. Comparison of relative areal coverage of cattail, other emergent macrophytes, floating macrophytes, and open water/submersed vegetation in Everglades Nutrient Removal Project Treatment Cells 1, 2, 3, and 4 from October 1993 to April 1998.....6-12

Figure 6-5. Daily total inflow (Inflow Pumps + Seepage Return Pumps + rainfall + surficial seepage) and outflow at the Outflow Pump Station during the first four operational years in the Everglades Nutrient Removal Project (August 1994 through July 1998). ....6-14

Figure 6-6. Monthly relative water loads to the Everglades Nutrient Removal Project associated with the Inflow Pumps, Seepage Return Pumps, rainfall, and surficial seepage from August 1994 through July 1998. ....6-16

Figure 6-7. Monthly and 12-month, rolling flow-weighted total phosphorus concentrations at the Everglades Nutrient Removal Project Inflow and Outflow Pump Stations from August 1994 through July 1998. ....6-20

Figure 6-8. Monthly and 12-month rolling total phosphorus load reduction estimates for the Everglades Nutrient Removal Project from August 1994 through July 1998.....6-21

Figure 6-9. Monthly and cumulative total phosphorus settling rates for the Everglades Nutrient Removal Project from August 1994 through July 1998.....6-22

- Figure 6-10. Sediment bulk density and phosphorus content in Everglades Nutrient Removal Project Treatment Cell 1 during pre-construction (0-30 cm depth) and post-operation in January 1995 (0-5 cm depth) and January 1996 (0-5 cm depth). Panel A-sediment bulk density; Panel B-sediment P content; Panel C-sediment P content corrected for bulk density. Top and bottom of box = 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively; mid-line in box = 50th percentile; ends of vertical lines = 10th and 90th percentiles, respectively; open circles = observations outside of the 10th and 90th percentiles; closed squares = mean values.....6-24
- Figure 6-11. Proportion of labile and non-labile phosphorus pools in sediment (0-5 cm depth) from Everglades Nutrient Removal Project Treatment Cell 1 collected January 1996 as determined by inorganic P fractionation scheme (KCL-Pi = labile inorganic P; NaOH-Pi = non-labile iron and aluminum bound inorganic P; HCl-Pi = non-labile calcium bound inorganic P; NaOH-Po = alkali-extractable organic P; Residual Po = highly resistant organic P). Proportions based on the mean weight:weight P content for each phosphorus pool calculated from all sediment samples. 6-25
- Figure 6-12. Nutrient removal efficiency diagrams based on operational data from Boney Marsh and nine USEPA treatment wetlands. Contour isopleths represent % P load reduction (see equation 6.7). Panel A = relationship of water depth and P loading rate with P removal efficiency; Panel B = relationship of hydraulic and P loading rates with P removal efficiency. Adapted from Moustafa (1999). .....6-29
- Figure 6-13. Comparison of predicted total phosphorus concentrations at the outlet of Boney Marsh with observed data from 1978 to 1988. See **Figure 6-10** for explanation of box plots. Open circle = observed mean total phosphorus concentrations; closed triangle = predicted total phosphorus concentrations. Adapted from Moustafa (1997). .....6-31
- Figure 6-14. Ternary plot of wetland total phosphorus removal efficiency. Contour isopleths within the plot represent predicted total phosphorus outflow concentrations (mg P/L). Closed triangle = predicted ENR Project annual mean outflow concentrations; open circles = predicted Boney Marsh annual mean outflow concentrations. From Moustafa (1997). .....6-31
- Figure 6-15. Observed and predicted daily water depth at stations in the center of Everglades Nutrient Removal Project Treatment Cells 1, 2, 3 and 4 (stations ENR101, ENR203, ENR301, and ENR401) from April 1995 through July 1996. Dashed line = predicted depths; solid line = observed depths. ....6-33
- Figure 6-16. Regression of observed and predicted water depth at stations in the center of Everglades Nutrient Removal Project Treatment Cells 1, 2, 3 and 4 (stations ENR101, ENR203, ENR301, and ENR401) from April 1995 through July 1996. Dashed line = 1:1 agreement between observed and predicted depths; solid line = regression line. ....6-34
- Figure 6-17. ....Observed and predicted average chloride concentrations in Everglades Nutrient Removal Project Treatment Cells 1, 2, 3 and 4 from April 1995 through July 1996. Open circles = observed biweekly chloride concentrations ( $\pm 1$  standard error); solid line = predicted chloride concentrations. ....6-35
- Figure 6-18. Observed and predicted chloride concentrations at water quality stations located between the inflow and outflow of Everglades Nutrient Removal Project Treatment Cells 1, 2, 3 and 4 from April 1995 through July 1996. See **Figure 6-10** for description of box plots; heavy line in each box = mean chloride concentration. ....6-36

Figure 6-19. Site plan for Stormwater Treatment Area 6, Section 1 showing the location of flow and water quality monitoring sites. ....6-38

Figure 6-20. Total phosphorus concentrations collected weekly by autosamplers at the inflow and outflow of Stormwater Treatment Area 6, Section 1 from December 1997 through July 1998. ....6-39

Figure 7-1. Sampling sites in the Everglades (a) canals and (b) marsh for USEPA (1998) Region IV Regional Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Project (REMAP). ....7-7

Figure 7-2. Location of sampling towers in the Florida Atmospheric Mercury Study (FAMS) Monitoring Network. 7-8

Figure 7-3. Mercury research sites for the Aquatic Cycling of Mercury in the Everglades (ACME) Project (USGS, Wisconsin DNR et al.). ....7-10

Figure 7-4. Simplified conceptual model of the mercury cycle in the Everglades from the ACME project. The model highlights linked submodels of mercury biogeochemistry and food web bioaccumulation. 7-16

Figure 7-5. Regression analysis for mosquitofish data along water chemistry gradient in WCA-2A. Data not shown, lines redrawn based on equations (Exponent, 1998). ....7-44

Figure 7-6. Proposed ECP/non-ECP EFA permit mercury monitoring sites. ....7-51

Figure 8-1. Phase II schedule requirements and goals. ....8-3

Figure 8-2. Chemical treatment. ....8-7

Figure 8-3. Low-intensity chemical dosing. ....8-10

Figure 8-4. Managed wetlands. ....8-11

Figure 8-5. Submerged aquatic vegetation and limerock. ....8-12

Figure 8-6. Periphyton-based Stormwater Treatment Area (PSTA) ....8-14

Figure 8-7. Supplemental technology and STA optimization research schedules ....8-17

Figure 9-1. LEC Plan/Comprehensive Review Study. ....9-7

Figure 10-1. Primary water budget components: 1995 Base. This figure was produced through simulations by the Restudy modeling team and will be included within the final version of the Central and South Florida Comprehensive Review Study. ....10-13

Figure 10-2. Primary water budget components: 2050 Base. This figure was produced through simulations by the Restudy modeling team and will be included within the final version of the Central and South Florida Comprehensive Review Study. ....10-14

Figure 10-3. Primary water budget components: Alternative D13R. This figure was produced through simulations by the Restudy modeling team and will be included within the final version of the Central and South Florida Comprehensive Review Study. ....10-15

Figure 11-1. Non-ECP Structures Discharging “Into”, “Within” or “From” the Everglades Protection Area under the auspices of the Everglades Stormwater Program. ....11-2

Figure 12-1. Timeframes for critical activities to meet the water quality goals identified in the Everglades Forever Act. ....12-2

Figure 12-1. (repeated) Timeframes for critical activities to meet the water quality goals identified in the Everglades Forever Act. This figure was repeated for the convenience of the reader. ... 12-10